Kumiko Kotera - Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris

GW

PLASMA Physics School - 17/05/2019

Ultra-high-energy Cosmic Rays

e.g., see *KK & Olinto 2011* with elements on acceleration inspired from talks by P. Blasi, M. Lemoine, E. Parizot

1 kg of cosmic rays per year on Earth (~10²⁷)

cosmic rays

Why do we care about cosmic rays at the PLASMA school?



Non-thermal particles are ubiquitous in the Universe magnetized plasmas <—> non-thermal particles (acceleration)

A macroscopic energy (10²⁰ eV ~ 10 Joules)



energy of a tennis ball hit by Roger

in a subatomic particle!

at the LHC,

particles are accelerated at 10⁷ times lesser energies...



The mystery of UHECRs

difficulties:



charged particles in a magnetized universe

- low particle flux (few per km² per century)
- beyond energy range experimentally probed by LHC
- powerful astrophysical sources not well understood

A UHECR journey



How do we detect ultrahigh energy (UHE) particles?

fluorescent detectors surface detectors

201

development of a particle air-shower in the atmosphere
 detection of secondary particles at ground
 detection of fluorescence light emitted during development of air-shower

The Pierre Auger Observatory



Learning from UHECR data



Auger & TA combined analysis Aab et al. (2014)

Learning from the energy spectrum



maximum acceleration energy?

Energy losses of UHECRs and the GZK horizon

for proton cosmic rays:

backgrounds: CMB IR/optical/UV photons

pion photoproduction $p + \gamma \longrightarrow N + n\pi$

pair photoproduction

$$p + \gamma \longrightarrow p + e^+ + e^-$$

$$E_p \gtrsim \frac{m_\pi (m_\pi + 2m_p) c^4}{2\epsilon} \sim 6 \text{ x} 10^{19} \text{ eV}$$

 $E_p \gtrsim \frac{m_e m_p}{\epsilon} \sim 10^{19} \text{ eV}$

10⁵ 1000 total direct (ubarn) multi-pion diffraction esonances 104 Proton energy loss lengths (Mpc) total cross section 100 10³ source distance scale < 100s Mpc **Photo-pion production** 10 10² Energy loss length Interaction length 0.1 10 100 1000 ε' (GeV) Interaction length (IR) 10¹ Pair production photon energy in proton rest frame Cosmological expa GZK cut-off 10^{0} extragalactic sources 1018 10¹⁹ 1022 10¹⁷ ¹⁰Greisen 1986, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966 within ~200 Mpc E(eV)

10

Learning from large scale anisotropies

Galactic or extragalactic?



Galactic to extragalactic transition region



virtues of this transition region

relatively important particle flux (few 100 cm⁻² sr⁻¹ s⁻¹ GeV²)
 --> accumulate reasonable statistics with mid-sized detectors
 overlaps with energy range experimentally probed by LHC

Galactic to extragalactic transition region



Figure B2.1. Sketch of spectral shapes given by umpy spectrum different transitions between 2 components [6] merging and vanishing mass elements? The first transition seemed natural when the ost theory models fit because of systematic uncertainties ankle was the only feature confirmed. Recent perimental gap around 10¹⁷eV data have revealed the presence of a "second knee", implying that the "funny shapes" depicted here could be the reality.

associated puzzles

maximum acceleration energy in the Galaxy?

▶1-2-3...? source components?

injection/population of extreme UHE component

Learning from mass composition?



light—>heavy —> light —> intermediate ??

not precise enough for constraints on models
 muon data —> large uncertainties

Selection of UHECR candidate sources



Guépin (PhD 2019), adapted from Alves Batista (2019)

Condition for acceleration at sources luminosity budget



Condition for acceleration at sources + UHECR anisotropy

source bolometric luminosity
$$> 10^{45} Z^{-2} E_{20}^2 \text{ erg s}$$

Lemoine & Waxman 2009

level of clustering in the sky in Auger data

> apparent number density of sources @ given energy and angular deflection α

Abreu et al. 2013

UHECRs cannot be dominantly protons from steady sources



Condition for acceleration at sources for transients

source bolometric luminosity > $10^{45} Z^{-2} E_{20}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$

many transient sources could make it Guépin & KK 2016

Lemoine & Waxman 2009



Condition for acceleration at sources energy losses

e.g., Guépin & KK (2017), Guépin et al. (2018) and many refs. therein



Cosmic-ray acceleration?

Lorentz force $\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = q\left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}{c}\right)$ Electric fields needed for acceleration
(B alone do not make work on particles)Electric field in plasma in motion (v) $\mathbf{E} \sim -\frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}{c}$ \mathbf{B} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e} Typical acceleration timescale $t_{acc} \gtrsim t_L$ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e}

"Bulk" acceleration $\langle E \rangle \neq 0$ large-scale E (special conditions required to achieve this because of high Stochastic acceleration $\langle \mathbf{E} \rangle = 0 \quad \langle \mathbf{E}^2 \rangle \neq 0$ small-scale **E** (random magnetic fluctuations,

(random magnetic fluctuations, frequent in astrophysical obj.)

examples

unipolar inductors
 reconnection
 wakefield acceleration

conductivity of plasmas)

Fermi mechanisms

- diffusive Shock Acceleration
- shear acceleration

Stochastic acceleration

▶ random magnetic fluctuations —> resonant scattering of particles

particle distribution ~isotropized in reference frame of the wave

see A. Spitkovsky's talk



example: 1st order Fermi acceleration

▶ in each frame, particle diffuses but energy does NOT change

particle experiences head-on collisions

relative velocity and Lorentz factors

$$\beta_{\rm rel} = \frac{\beta_{\rm u} - \beta_{\rm d}}{1 - \beta_{\rm u} \beta_{\rm d}}, \quad \Gamma_{\rm rel}$$

 $\begin{array}{cc} \text{ultra-} & \\ \text{relativistic} & \beta_{\rm d} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} & \Gamma_{\rm rel} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma_{\rm sh}}{2} \end{array}$

1st order Fermi acceleration

e.g., Gallant (2002)

2 Lorentz transforms

energy gain for one cycle (u-d-u):

$$\frac{E_{\rm f}}{E_{\rm i}} = \Gamma_{\rm rel}^2 (1 - \beta_{\rm rel} \mu_{\rightarrow d}) (1 + \beta_{\rm rel} \mu_{\rightarrow u})$$

$$(1 + \beta_{\rm rel} \mu_{\rightarrow u})$$

$$(1 + \beta_{\rm rel} \mu_{\rightarrow u})$$

$$(1 + \beta_{\rm rel} \mu_{\rightarrow u})$$



▶ average over the angles to calculate total energy gain

non-relativistic shock (particle distribution isotropic):

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = -\int_0^1 d\mu 2\mu \int_{-1}^0 d\mu' 2\mu' \left[\gamma^2 (1+\beta\mu)(1-\beta\mu') - 1\right] \approx \frac{4}{3}\beta$$

relativistic shocks: high gain in principle... but...
e.g., Gallant (2002), Lemoine & Pelletier (2003)



from M. Lemoine (Dublin 2011) 22

Cosmic-ray acceleration at relativistic shocks

Successful Fermi acceleration: particle has to return to the shock

Problem for ultra-relativistic shocks:

particle entangled in (perp.) B lines downstream and **advected** away from shock



Cosmic-ray acceleration at relativistic shocks





"Bulk" acceleration $\langle \mathbf{E} \rangle \neq 0$



B. Crinquand's Talk E. Zweibel's Talk J. Mehlhalff's Talk



Unipolar inductors: (pulsars, rotating BHs) rotating magnetic fields —> electric potential established (gaps) where E.B = 0 violated

Reconnection: (magnetospheres of pulsars, rotating BHs...) local merging of magnetic fields —> local electric field E~LB (L=size of reconnection region)

Pulsar characteristics



Acceleration of UHECRs in newly-born pulsars

Blasi et al. 00, Arons 03, Lemoine, KK, Pétri 2016



UHECR and pulsars

Blasi et al. 00, Arons 03, Fang et al. 2012, 2013, 2015, 2016, KK et al. 2015

Auger-uniform case 10¹⁸ ∆ Kascade−all 20% Fe a model with good initial conditions ◇ P 0% Si ∆ He+C+Si 30% CNO 🗆 Fe 0% He energetics $[m^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}eV^{1.5}]$ Auger 50% P Galactic SNR + TA10¹⁷ All $(E_{rot} > 10^{52} \text{ erg for the fastest})$ number density $(n_{pulsars} \sim 10^{-4} Mpc^{-3} yr^{-1})$ 10¹⁶ natural spot to produce heavy nuclei extragalactic E^{2.5} pulsars 10¹⁵ dN/dE 1014 Galactic pulsars a model that works! 10¹³

15

14

16

17

E [eV]

18

19

20

▶ fits composition at UHE

- ▶ fits spectrum at UHE
- bridges gap between SNR extragalactic sources

a testable model!

unavoidable neutrino flux observable with IceCube in the next decade

21

Exciting times!





And we still don't know the origin of UHECRs

Current multi-messenger data: useful to understand UHECRs?



YV

Cosmic backgrounds interactions on CMB, UV/opt/ IR photons

cosmogenic neutrino and gamma-ray production

 $E_{v} \sim 10\% E_{CR}/A$

Secondaries take up 5-10% of parent cosmic-ray energy



- radiative? baryonic?
- evolution, density?
- magnetic field: deflections?

associated neutrino and gamma-ray production

 $E_v \sim 5\% E_{CR}/A$ $\int E_{CR} > 10^{18} eV$

 $E_\nu > 10^{16} \; eV$

IceCube neutrinos do not directly probe UHECRs

Actually, none of the current multi-messenger data (except UHECR data) can directly probe UHECRs ... but they help :-)

The guaranteed cosmogenic neutrinos

Alves Batista, de Almeida, Lago, KK, 2018 GRAND Science & Design, 2018 KK, Allard, Olinto 2010





200,000 radio antennas over 200,000 km² ~20 hotspots of 10k antennas in favorable locations in China & around the world



Learn plasma Physics and help us hunt for the origin of UHECRs!

Let's go hunt some ultra-high-energy astroparticles!

